Appln No. 10/815,623 Amdt. Dated February J. 2006 Response to Office Action of December 29, 2005

12

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action has been carefully considered. It is respectfully submitted that the issues raised are traversed, being hereinafter addressed with reference to the relevant headings appearing in the Detailed Action section of the Office Action.

The Applicant has amended claims. The Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to the claim set are fully supported by the originally filed specification.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the features of claim 15, now cancelled.

Claim 21 has been amended to insert the term "visible" as required by the Examiner.

Claim 48 has been amended to include the feature of claim 15, now cancelled.

Claim Objections

In relation to the rejection against claim 21, the term "visible" has been inserted before the term "information" as required by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 - 4, 7, 8, 17, 18, 22 - 28, 44 - 51 and 53 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilz Sr. et al. (US 6,772,949), in view of Kawaguchi (US 2002/0018705). Claims 11 - 14 are rejected in view of these cited documents in further view of Roustaei et al. (US 6,685,095).

The Examiner has indicated claims 15, 16, 19 - 21, 29 - 43 and 54 - 60 as being allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 48 to include the limitation of claim 15, which the Examiner considered defined allowable subject matter, and which has now been cancelled.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that currently amended claims 1 and 48, and all claims depending therefrom, define patentable subject matter.

It is respectfully submitted that this amendment now places this application in condition for allowance and that the amendment be enacted.

Appin No. 10/815,623 Amdt. Dated February 1, 2006 Response to Office Action of December 29, 2005

13

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejections. The present application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance of all the claims presently under examination.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:

Applicant:

KIA SILVERBROOK

Par 1-

Applicant:

PAUL LAPSTUN

JAN RUSMAN

C/o:

Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street

Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email:

kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone:

+612 9818 6633

Facsimile:

+61 2 9555 7762